

A Summation and A Beginning

FROM CONGRESS OF AFRIKAN PEOPLE TO REVOLUTIONARY COMMUNIST LEAGUE (M-L-M)



Such leaders as H. Rap Brown, Maulana Karenga, Amiri Baraka, and Floyd McKissick were at the '67 Black Power Conference. The early history of CAP's development involved the Rebellions of 1967 and the Black Power Conference in Newark, N.J., which represented the diversity of the Black Liberation Movement in 1967, but also the eclecticism that characterized BLM in the absence of a genuine communist party in the U.S.A. And still today we need a revolutionary Marxist-Leninist party, guided by the science of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought to lead the proletariat and oppressed nationalities to smash imperialism with socialist revolution. Party building is the central task of Marxist-Leninist and advanced forces in the U.S. today.



RCL (M-L-M) is convinced that the clearest way for us to draw the line of demarcation between genuine Marxism-Leninism and modern revisionism today is to use the suffix (M-L-M) and in our practice continuously uphold and defend the banner of Mao Tse Tung Thought, which is, "the acme of Marxism-Leninism in the present era".

Revolutionary Communist League (M-L-M)

History of CAP

STAGE ONE

... In 1966, Amiri Baraka and a group of people who had been active in New York at the Black Arts Repertory Theater, and local people from Newark, New Jersey began to work together putting on "black theater" in Newark at a frame building on Stirling Street. The general direction of the theater was similar to that of the Black Arts, in that the works to be performed were all Black Nationalist, some revolutionary nationalist, others reactionary nationalist.

During '66, the rise of the Carmichael popularized cry of "Black Power" was picked up by many independent nationalists, as the most penetrating line since Malcolm's death a year earlier. The fact that Malcolm's line was the voice of the Black working class reasserting itself into leadership of the Black Liberation Movement is significant, because after his murder, the petty bourgeois leadership moved into that vacuum. And in some cases the Black National Bourgeois hegemony was partially reasserted, articulated by Dr. King, and later, comprador politicians. Even the Panther line was twisted by a petty bourgeois glorification of the Lumpen as revolutionaries (by a lumpen who had been glorified by the petty bourgeois even being equipped with Bakunin as "legitimized"

reference to such backwardness).

Even with Malcolm, the Black Liberation Movement suffered from eclecticism, but Malcolm was a steady focus, a revolutionary nationalist and anti-imperialist whose articulation that Black people were an oppressed nation with the right of self determination, self respect, self defense, exposed the shallowness of the Black bourgeoisie's conciliatory line. It is important to understand that the main reason that the Black bourgeoisie had moved into leadership of the Black Liberation Movement again was because of the traitorous opportunism of the CPUSA, who had liquidated the AfroAmerican National Question saying that Black people had already achieved Self Determination and had opted for Integration under Imperialism. This was a long step by these bourgeois lackies to the complete degeneration of the CPUSA to revisionism which was completed by the 50's.

The plunge into revisionism first of all disoriented all progressive workers struggles in the United States, and cast the Black Liberation Movement directly into the hands of the Black bourgeoisie. The CPUSA even began to push the comprador wing of the Black bourgeoisie, the NAACP, &c., as "leaders of

(Continued on page 2)

WORLD SITUATION

The changing of the Congress of African People's name to Revolutionary Communist League (Marxist-Leninist-Mao Tse Tung Thought), is being done in the context of the world situation. According to Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought, there are four fundamental contradictions that exist in the world today, which give shape and force to the present international situation, whose road is marked by many twists and turns but ultimately favorable for the broad masses who are the makers of history, as our Chinese comrades state.

These contradictions are, labor vs. capital, this means the contradiction between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie in the "advanced" capitalist countries; second is the contradiction between imperialism and the third world, this represents the struggle of the peoples of Asia, Afrika, and Latin America against imperialism, neo-colonialism, hegemonism and the superpowers, these struggles have borne great victories for the people of these countries fighting for national liberation. These struggles are the motive force of revolution in the world today. Next is the contradiction between imperialism vs. imperialism the most intense being, the struggle of the two superpowers for world domination with U.S. imperialism on the one hand and Soviet

Social-imperialism on the other, these superpowers represent the danger of new world war, and the threat of this war comes mainly from the Soviet Union. The fourth of these contradictions is imperialism vs. the socialist countries. Of these four basic contradictions, two are the sharpest in the world today, they are imperialism vs. the third world and the contradiction between the superpowers.

"It is also necessary to adhere to the theory of two points in analysing the world situation. In his solemn statement **People of the World, Unite and Defeat the U.S. Aggressors and All Their Running Dogs!** issued on May 20, 1970, Chairman Mao pointed out: 'The danger of new world war still exists, and the people of all countries must get

(Continued on page 6)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CAP HISTORY	P1
REVOLUTIONARY COMMUNIST LEAGUE (M-L-M)	P1
NEW LOGO FOR UNITY & STRUGGLE	P2
SUMMING UP & BEGINNING	P11

CAP HISTORY

(Continued from page 1)

the freedom movement." The lack of a vanguard communist party to give leadership to all the workers struggles and the struggles of oppressed nationalities, to gather all those struggles together in their strategic relationship and make revolution, in itself was the main cause of the eclecticism of anti-imperialist student struggles, and the movement of the oppressed nationalities.

The Black Arts Movement from whence developed the Spirit House was eclecticism personified, combined with some aspects of bourgeois aesthetic, cultural nationalism (though not yet into so called "neo traditionalism"), subjectivism, mysticism, idealism, individualism. Malcolm was the key figure for the Black Arts Movement and the Spirit House, but there were also the influences of the Nation of Islam (especially as reflected in Malcolm's earlier speeches). There was also, not in substantially so, rising influence of Sunni Islam, because Malcolm had embraced orthodox Islam in his last year, and also the Yoruba influence, which emanated out of New York from the various groups put together by Baba Oserjeman. It is significant that the dive into the most reactionary cultural nationalism came only after Malcolm's death, and the concept of what was "Black" moved, in some groups to the extreme right, via the feudalistic elements contained in Yoruba and Islam, removed from the fire sermons of Malcolm's Revolutionary Nationalism and anti-imperialism, and without the proletarian leadership of a revolutionary Marxist-Leninist Party.

The Motion of "Blackness" from its revolutionary connotation to the backwardness of cultural nationalism, is the key to later directions and misdirections of the Black Liberation Movement, and particularly movements like CFUN and CAP. The combination of

many petty bourgeois elements in the leadership, especially of any "arts" movement, the fact that even Malcolm earlier condemned Whites generally, and that the Black Liberation Movement in opposing national oppression, White supremacy and chauvinism and racism, has long had a general reaction to this oppression which made relationships with any Whites strained. The weakness of most of the abolitionists, the chauvinism of early socialist movements in the U.S.A., the comprador bourgeoisie's cry of bourgeois integration, as the road to liberation, pushed by the bourgeoisie and the degenerate CPUSA, all, by the early 50's had set up a trend of reaction which pushed all the way over to narrow nationalism. But narrow nationalism is 1st and foremost a reaction, among the Black Liberation Movement, to national oppression and racism. And even the fact of the credibility of cultural nationalism, as bankrupt as it ultimately must be seen to be, is only possible because of the peculiar circumstance of national oppression and racism, and their attendant cultural aggression which had historically been one method of Black subjugation and what seemed the obvious goal (despised by the masses) of the compradors.

The Spirit House in producing plays in Newark, including an AfroAmerican festival of the arts (which included Carmichael, Oserjeman, Harold Cruse as speakers) and opening a small theater, also began to get involved with organizing the immediate neighborhood—with block association, a youth produced newspaper, propaganda and agitation around the education struggles.

Early 1967 Amiri Baraka and others went to San Francisco State-Baraka as visiting professor - helped set up the first Black Studies Program with the leading efforts of Jimmy Garrett. Under the heading: The Black Communications

Project, plays were performed up and down the West Coast, with the Black Arts Alliance (The Black Students Union of San Francisco State and Black Arts West, with Ed Bullins, Marvin X, and others.) Rehearsals were held at The Black House, which was also the residence of Marvin X and Eldridge Cleaver. The group did benefits for the Panthers in San Francisco (with Huey Newton, Rap Brown, Stokely Carmichael and LeRoi Jones on one program—this was before Cleaver had rose up in the organization). Later a split developed between the artists who were heavily influenced by cultural nationalism, e.g., Islam and later Kawaida and the Cleaver faction. Cleaver at the time, just returned from interviewing Stokely Carmichael in Alabama, was making speeches for the SWP. This baffled those of us from the East, since the movement there had been distinctly narrow nationalist by that time, and it was partially in reaction to just such groups as the scum trots, and the revisionists of the CPUSA as well, that a narrow nationalism had gained hegemony over one part of the movement. The CPUSA's classic line that "the nationalism of Malcolm X was the same as the Nationalism of the Ku Klux Klan", their calling Malcolm, a police agent seemed simply to justify the anti-White feelings, especially toward the political types. The bogus integration of the civil rights movement, the shallowness of the Black bourgeoisie's leadership, the tradition of petty bourgeois separatism and Back to Afrika movements that were "more militant" than the Black bourgeoisie's leadership, e.g., Garvey &c. which could be drawn from, all reinforced separatism. The petty bourgeois had seized leadership of the Black Liberation Movement in the 20's after the depression contracted the Black bourgeoisie's ability to lead the movement. Classically the Black bourgeoisie had been before that the leadership of the "freedom movement," but after the failure of the Garvey movement, with its petty bourgeois idealism, separatism, bourgeois nationalism, and anti-communism, a distinct working class trend had arisen characterized by groups like the Afrikan Blood Brotherhood, which began as a Left opposition inside the Garvey movement, and which had representatives at the 3rd International (Harry Haywood, &c.), also magazines like the Crusader, the Messenger, and the entrance of thousands of Blacks into the CPUSA, once they had taken the correct position on the AfroAmerican National Question. It should be clear how tragic was the collapse into revisionism of the CPUSA, and how it led to the seizure of the leadership of the Black Liberation Movement by the Black bourgeoisie. After Malcolm's death, the petty bourgeois trend rears.

During the ejection of the Black artists influenced by Islam and cultural nationalism from the Black House, by Cleaver and the Panthers, Amiri Baraka was in Los Angeles where he was invited to attend what was called "The First AfroAmerican Wedding", put on by Ron Karenga's US Organization. Karenga had shown up one night in Newark some months earlier where he was helping to plan the '67 Black Power Conference, which was to be held in July. It was the first exposure of the Newark Spirit House forces to Karenga, and the kind of cultural nationalism he advocated. Highly organized, armed with a "doctrine" of his teachings which were systematized for easy memorization and recitation, and a closeknit group of loyal followers, Karenga during this period was impressive to us. Talking about the importance of Culture for revolution, Karenga spoke lengthily about the need for Revolutionary AfroAmerican Art. And his doctrine was the triumph of eclecticism. It borrowed liberally from Malcolm, Elijah Muhammad and The Nation of Islam (Karenga had been in the Nation), as well as Garvey, Nkrumah, Nyerere, Toure, Fanon, Mao (because he was "colored"), and ironically Lenin, (but unnamed and simply quoted without

quotation marks) as well as Abba Eban (who was quoted and named and read from at length) Gracian, Sun Tzu, Gibran, and The Lion In Winter. Karenga had organized the eclecticism of the Black Liberation Movement into a "doctrine" of eclecticism.

It was the experience of watching the Panthers rise, the spring of '67 was the time they went into the California Legislature with guns to draw attention to the idea that Black people must have the right of armed self defense: of being exposed and impressed by the bald-headed, buba wearing, gun bearing, swahili speaking, doctrine quoting, tight organization of Karenga's US, that suggested to us the need to more tightly and more politically organize the Spirit House. The disorganized, undisciplined character of the Spirit House we now saw as backward. And almost as soon as we returned to Newark, the rebellion which had been building not only in Newark, but across the country, in the violent reaction to the nonaccomplishment of the civil rights movement and its bourgeois domination, July '67 a week of rebellion jumped off, which came to its end at the beginning of the Black Power Conference. A 1967 photo of a press conference calling for United Nations intervention in Newark, at which a petition was submitted containing names, which included, Huey Newton, LeRoi Jones,

Rap Brown, Stokely Carmichael, Imari Obadele, which put forward concretely Malcolm's line that we should take our oppression before the United Nations. The people sitting at the table in the photograph are Rap Brown, Maulana Karenga, LeRoi Jones, McKissick (Jones had just gotten released from Prison, after he was beaten and arrested during the rebellion.) It is at about this point that the people in the Spirit House began to wear dashiki's and Afrikan clothes. It is also the period at which the dramatic work of the Spirit House begins to be superseded by purely political work.

In summing up it seems key that philosophical idealism, subjectivism, metaphysics, narrow nationalism were the bottom of the basis of the ideological, political and overall organizational eclecticism of the Spirit House, as well as the whole Black Liberation Movement, and central to this was the absence of a genuine Marxist-Leninist Party. Even though a revolutionary concept of Black Power was put forward, there was no scientific or complete method or theory understood or accepted as the basis of struggle of the movement. There was no systematic study or real analysis, nor no real understanding of the essentially one sided nature of our perceptions of the movement, which is what made Karenga's doctrine seem so profound. It was a "Neo Traditionalists" Quaran, of systematized eclecticism.

Gains

In terms of gains during this period, first there was an attempt to put into concrete political use Malcolm X's message, even to circulating and trying to go to the United Nations with the petition. The putting forth of a revolutionary aspect of the Black Power message, including the need for revolutionary violence. The spreading nationally of a revolutionary Black Arts Movement. And finally the move, after the 1967 rebellion, to emphasize politics and organization within the Spirit House.

Errors

Negatively, the subjectivist philosophical idealism and metaphysics of an earlier period was continued, and with the advent of a more conscious trend of cultural nationalism, deepened. And with the deepening of the cultural nationalism more negative aspects of the eclecticism began to dominate, some positive aspects to be more and more obscured.

Reasons

The reasons for these negative tendencies dominating at the end of this period, the whole move into cultural nationalism must be seen as coming from first the general eclecticism and

(Continued on page 3)

Why Unity & Struggle Changed Its Logos

The old Congress of Afrikan People logos, which showed the continent of Afrika, and the Western Hemisphere was based on the views of Pan-Afrikanism. And since our views have changed radically since we have embraced the science of revolution, Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought, the logos must change also. We have changed the logos to reflect the development of our views from Pan-Afrikanism to Proletarian Internationalism. In fact, even the 6th PAC in Dar Es Salaam put forward that revolutionary Pan-Afrikanism is the

struggle of Afrikan people throughout the world against imperialism and for socialism.

Proletarian internationalism, i.e., "workers and oppressed nations of the world, unite!" is the principle upheld by all genuine Marxist-Leninists. Marx said, we have a world to win, and nothing to lose but our chains! So as Marxist-Leninists guided by the theory of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought, the Revolutionary Communist League (M-L-M) is announcing the change of our logos to reflect proletarian internationalism.



Frederick Engels, the great champion and teacher of the proletariat was the great comrade of Karl Marx, and together Marx and Engels expounded the main principles of scientific socialism. They wrote the Communist Manifesto as the program for the Communist League in 1848. And after Marx's death, Engels continued alone to be the counsellor and leader of the European socialists and fight against every stripe of revisionism and opportunism that challenged the guiding light of Marxism.



After the death of Lenin, Stalin was always the central figure of the world communist movement until his own death. In a "comprehensive and classical manner" Stalin developed Marxist-Leninist theory and opened a new stage in Marxism. He developed Lenin's theory of the possibility of socialism in one country, and contributed fundamentally to a scientific understanding of the national question, to the theory of revolution in the colonies and semi-colonies.

CAP HISTORY

(Continued from page 2)

spontaneity of the Black Liberation Movement and the general worship of spontaneity that went with it.

And also, most important, after the murder of Malcolm X, a petty bourgeois tendency emerged in the vacuum which pushed separation and cultural nationalism on one side and eventually gun cultism and worship of the lumpen on the other. Two absolute distortions of the total political line of Malcolm, since no organization was left by Malcolm, nor was there a vanguard Marxist-Leninist Party to give working class leadership. Added to this, petty bourgeois elements within the Spirit House, because it emphasized art, though Black Art, because of their subjectivism were easily impressed by the political, organized structure of Karenga's organization and the petty bourgeois idealism his "doctrine" represented.

STAGE TWO

The main character of this stage of the development of what was to become the Congress of Afrikan People was the coming together of the earliest elements of the Spirit House, a Black Arts group, with some aspects of a community organization, with other more or less predominantly political types to form what was called the Committee for Unified Newark. This was a name given to the group by Malauna Karenga of the US organization on one of his visits to Newark.

By the time of the Newark rebellion the political tendency of what was then the Spirit House was clearly in the ascendancy and began to dominate around that time. From late in '67 and into '68, there were not only people who were attracted to the Spirit Houses' work in the Black Arts but also people who were drawn to its openly black nationalist political line. The Sunni Muslims began to come around pushing the line that since Malcolm had become an Orthodox Muslim, that that was true line of Black Liberation. They set up classes in the Spirit House, in Arabic and Islam, briefly Spirit House became a "Jammat" or place for the gathering and teaching of Orthodox Muslims. But there was an almost immediate conflict, since the Sunnis were not even really nationalists and without Malcolm's anti-imperialist consciousness, the Jersey Sunnis pushed a great many "practical" programs that were basically comprador.

A group of community activists, organizers, neophyte politicians were also brought together during this period, for regular meetings to discuss Newark politics. The general theme emerging was that of "Black Power", but in this context it began to be translated almost exclusively as "power" through the municipal government and other local agencies, which could be gained finally through electoral politics. This group became finally formalized into The United Brothers.

Another group also came together during this period with the brothers and sisters in the Spirit House, and later, in interrelationship with the brothers in The United Brothers, this was the Black Community Defense and Development, called B.C.D. The BCD was from its outset a much looser, smaller, weaker version of Karenga's US organization. It was put together by Balozzi Zayd Muhammad who was a small dealer in Afrikan arts and crafts in a small East Orange store and also a martial arts "Sensi" Mfundishi Maasi. The BCD grasped the most surface elements of the Kawaida doctrine, combining a small merchant consciousness with a martial arts fanaticism and individualism, that hooked easily into the surfaces of the Karenga-US image. Karate and the martial arts in general was thought by cultural nationalists to be a key element in the coming Black Revolution, and the baldheaded, dashiki or buba wearing, karate dangerous, Swahili speaking Black cultural nationalist was the deepest aspect of what the BCD

represented. The BCD had a sister organization, Sisters for Black Culture, along with the United Sisters, a group that never formalized but represented the wives of some of the United Brothers. All these groups came together around the Spirit House, drawn together by the Newark leadership, and its focus on local Black power, and the influence of Karenga's Kawaida.

At first these three groups represented three distinct but inter-related trends that the leadership of the Spirit House was involved with. Spirit House itself, which was Black Arts; United Brothers, Black Power translated as local electoral politics; Black Community Defense and Development, Kawaida Cultural Nationalism. What linked all three was bourgeois nationalism and idealism, what formally linked all three, was the formalized organization of bourgeois nationalism and idealism, ie, the Kawaida doctrine, and the general method of organizing represented by the US organization.

Actually while the three groups functioned together, they remained to a certain extent separate anyway. The United Brothers met on Sundays to discuss Newark politics. The more directly cultural nationalist BCD had a Sunday night "Soul Session" patterned after the US organization, which was a combination rally and church service at which time people spoke on various parts of the Kawaida doctrine, and the leadership spoke to inspire the organization members and community people. The Spirit House elements had rehearsals and performed there and at colleges and other places around the area and as late as 1968 continued to do benefits for the National Panther organization.

It was the United Brothers organization that actually contained at one period most of the later and present Black councilmen and the future Black Mayor of Newark, who met at this time discussing the political tactics of Black politics in Newark.

It was thought that the cultural nationalist doctrine of Kawaida was the revolutionary essence of the program that issued from the three groups, and generally it was thought by the leadership that the local politicians should be influenced by Kawaida, by "Real Nationalism", and the surface electoral political organization should contain a cultural nationalist "Revolutionary" content.

In '68, Karenga came to Newark, as the three groups were working together to sponsor the first Black political convention in Newark, and later ran several candidates for City Councilmen in what was called (by Karenga) "The Peace & Power Campaign". Since these were the slogans of the newly founded Committee for Unified Newark... Peace & Power. (This was supposed to make use of the Black Power Movement as well as the Peace Movement which were in motion, and which Karenga proposed that we abstractly relate to both.) It was during this period that the Committee for Unified Newark was named, which was to bring together all three groups into one general body, as well as provide a structure wherein other local organizations could come in. BCD would provide the cultural nationalism and security; United Brothers would provide the political thrust; and Spirit House, the Black Arts and "Spiritual Leadership".

None of the candidates put forward by the United Brothers-Committee for Unified Newark won that year, but more Black people in Newark voted than ever before in an election. The slogan put forth by Karenga for the organization after the election was "To Stumble Is Not to Fall, But To Go Forward Faster", and the leadership of the Spirit House and the United Brothers, were now very clear that 1970 we would try to elect a Black Mayor. What was unnoticed or not understood, was that as the general level of inter-

nalization of the cultural nationalist doctrine proceeded, many of the more revolutionary aspects of the openly eclectic period were played down, more openly reformist elements were emphasized. In fact, Karenga often criticized the Newark leadership for saying things (like emphasizing violence &c.) that would "scare the blood". Also the rise in the cultural nationalist Kawaida doctrine was the rise in the extreme of male chauvinism now raised and legitimized as a "doctrine". Part of this doctrine openly spoke to the concept that women should be "submissive", to be beautiful, and restated many of the older Islamic concepts dressed up in Swahili and neotraditional "Afrikan" garb. Even polygamy was pushed in the US organization, and this was from the inception a conflict between the US Kawaida practices and the Newark-Committee for Unified Newark practices. Even though the Yoruba Temple had spread the polygamy concept in the East, and in the US organization the term was used to legitimize male chauvinism, the Committee for Unified Newark opposed this practice, and some of the most reactionary aspects of "the Doctrine" dealing with women were never taught in the East at the Committee for Unified Newark, because the leadership was opposed to them, and the women in the Committee for Unified Newark always raised objection to the most chauvinistic extremes of the Kawaida teaching.

It should be added that the Male Chauvinism that is basic under capitalism was taken to unbelievable lengths under Kawaida, and it had a serious and negative effect on the women, tending to exclude them from political work and setting up a repressive & negative pattern and style of work to the point of habituating this apolitical tendency so deeply in some of the women that it will only be completely defeated with fierce struggle. It deepened and legitimized male chauvinism via feudalist "neotraditionalism" to such an extent that some male cadres still suffer from it, and have only just recently begun to face how broadly they are affected by the leavings of these backward practices and ideas.

Since the Kawaida, cultural nationalist teaching and faction was most organized (even as organized eclecticism) it gradually influenced all three groups to some degree. Though a good number of the members of the United Brothers were cold blooded opportunists who merely used the organized dynamic of the organization to gain political office. But bourgeois nationalism was still a linking factor between these opportunists and the more sincere and serious elements of all the groups now functioning as Committee for Unified Newark. As the Spirit House leadership became more and more political, drawing its main formal political tactics and strategy from the Kawaida Doctrine, the application and attempted application of this political methodology brought it more and more in conflict with the BCD. The reason: that BCD leadership actually only held to the most general surface utilization of the Kawaida doctrine, the look, a few Swahili phrases, odd bits of ritual, a small "Afrikan Store", and the Karate. For the BCD leadership, the Soul Session and Karate practice were the real nationalism, they had small regard for the politics. The final conflict involved a clash between Baraka, who was the "Spiritual Leader" of the Committee for Unified Newark and Maasi, its presumed "military leader", over whether Doctrine classes had precedence over Karate training or not. That is the Karate-merchant mentality actually saw small need for any formal political training, and with a few more such incidents, the BCD withdrew from the Committee for Unified Newark:

With the withdrawal of BCD from the Committee for Unified Newark, the organization now became for the first time a unitary organization, with one general leadership, though composed still of elements of all three

organizations. But now the Spirit House and United Brothers leadership was the sole leadership of the Committee for Unified Newark, and put together a "Kawaida" which revised much of Karenga's doctrine as it developed to fit in with the ideas of the East coast practitioners. Basically it combined cultural nationalism with electoral politics.

Gains

During this period the gains can be summed up as "formation of a unitary, political organization", and a "split with the extreme right wing (comprador) petty bourgeois cultural nationalists". (During the fifth stage, the BCD had almost completely deteriorated, and after the re-election of Mayor Hart of East Orange, the leadership of the organization joined the cabinet of Hart, Balozzi Zayd Muhammad, becoming head of the Drug and Alcohol program for the City of East Orange, the BCD Martial Arts program becomes a Model Cities program in East Orange.)

Mistakes

Clearly the full "acceptance" of Kawaida, the formal eclecticism, the bourgeois and cultural nationalism. With its male chauvinism as a formal legitimized aspect of nationalism. The neotraditionalist form of Kawaida even brought back Afrikan feudal practices as "revolutionary" and encouraged ritualism and metaphysics. It took eclecticism, Karengaized it, and taught a doctrine of idealism and petty-bourgeois revolutionism. Malcolm's line on the Black Nation with its emphasis on land, Kawaida transmuted into "Black people were a cultural nation" and had to build the political nation, thereby uniting with the social democratic concept of "cultural autonomy", under capitalism, rather than revolutionary self-determination under socialism.

Lenin says of "cultural autonomy" and of nationalism in general, "Consolidating nationalism within a certain 'justly' delimited sphere, 'constitutionalizing' nationalism, and securing the separation of all nations from one another by means of a special state institution—such is the ideological foundation and content of cultural-national autonomy. This ideal is thoroughly bourgeois and thoroughly false.

"The gist of this programme (Cultural-National Autonomy) is that every citizen registers as belonging to a particular nation, and every nation constitutes a legal entity with the right to impose compulsory taxation on its members with national parliaments and national... ministers. Not abolishing capitalism and its basis", which is the basis for national oppression, but a purely petty bourgeois idea, "which converts bourgeois nationalism into an absolute category, exalts it as the acme of perfection...". (In *Critical Remarks, on the National Question*, Vol. 20, Collected Works, p. 35-36) "Marxism cannot be reconciled with nationalism, be it even of the 'most just', 'purest', most refined and civilized brand. In place of all forms of nationalism Marxism advances internationalism, the amalgamation of all nations in the higher unity, a unity that is growing before our eyes... the principle of nationality is historically inevitable in bourgeois society and, taking this society into due account, the Marxist fully recognized the historical legitimacy of national movements. But to prevent this recognition from becoming an apologia of nationalism, it must be strictly limited to what is progressive in such movements, in order that this recognition may not lead to bourgeois ideology obscuring proletarian consciousness.

"The awakening of the masses from feudal lethargy, and their struggle against all national oppression, for the sovereignty of the people, of the nation, are progressive. Hence, it is the Marxist's bounden duty to stand for the most resolute and consistent democratism on all aspects of the national question. This task is largely a negative one. But this is the limit the proletariat can go in sup-

(Continued on page 4)

Revolutionary Communist League

(Continued from page 1)

prepared. But revolution is the main trend in the world today. The danger of world war and 'revolution' are the two aspects of an 'entity' - the world situation. What are the conditions regarding these two aspects? Chairman Mao pointed out: The former 'still exists' and the latter is 'the main trend'. 'The danger of a new world war still exists. This is another trend in the development of today's world. It is dangerous if we see only the raging flames of the revolution without noticing the enemies sharpening their swords and think we can lower our vigilance because of the excellent situation.' (quoted from *Study Philosophy*, "The Theory of Two Points", p. 8).

In this contention the main danger of war comes from the "wildly ambitious" Soviet Union, which is a country of socialism in words and imperialism in deeds! Li Chiang, Minister of Foreign Trade of the People's Republic of China, at the Seventh Special Session of the U.N. General Assembly put it this way "Above all, it should be pointed out that this superpower is energetically pushing neo-colonialism in the third world under the cloak of a 'natural ally' of the developing countries. In the name of 'aid', it practices usury, outrageously dumps outmoded equipment and loots the raw materials and other industrial and agricultural products of the developing countries. It even uses 'aid' as an opportunity for securing various special privileges and obtaining strategic bases."

As the liberation struggles of the third world continue to smash U.S. imperialism and the contradiction between labor and capital in the Western industrial countries sharpens, and as the superprofits once used by the imperialists to bribe and divide a sector of the working class in these countries is ripped away by the liberation struggles of the third world the bourgeoisie will resort to massive layoffs, budget cuts, closing of or running away of shops to the Black Belt South where the U.S. imperialist can exploit the Black Nation or they will run all the way to a third world country, in its ever continuing search for superprofits, thus priming the working class in the Western industrial countries for revolution (though as the 3rd World defeats U.S. imperialism, the U.S. markets and spheres of influence contracts and it cannot run away to many places in the 3rd World anymore, e.g., Cambodia, Vietnam, Guinea-Bissau).

This makes it the task of Marxist-Leninist and advanced forces to take up and deepen ourselves in the study of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought and integrate these studies with our day to day practice to make socialist revolution.

As Comrade Lenin pointed out "Without a revolutionary theory there can be no revolutionary movement."


MODERN REVISIONISM

As Marxist-Leninists we recognize the central task in this period is the building of an Anti-Revisionist Vanguard Communist Party because without this revolutionary party, socialist revolution is not possible. The danger of modern revisionism lies in the fact that it cuts the core out of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought. Modern Revisionism is the ideology of the bourgeoisie dressed in "Marxist-Leninist" terminology, which does not include the essence of M-L-M which is class struggle, proletarian revolution, and the dictatorship of the proletariat! Many may think the Soviet Union is a socialist country, it is not, it once was a land of the dictatorship of the proletariat under the leadership of Lenin and Stalin, and as such represented a guiding light for the countries, nations and people of the world struggling for independence, liberation and revolution. But, it has restored capitalism, this was done by op-

portunists within the party who consolidated a bureaucrat-monopoly capitalist class under the leadership of the traitor and revisionist Khrushchev, and in doing so, "The entire superstructure of Soviet society degenerated. The former dictatorship of the proletariat was transformed into a fascist dictatorship of the new revisionist bourgeoisie, the socialist Soviet State was transformed into a social-imperialist state." ("The Soviet Economy, A Completely and Definitely Capitalist Economy," Aristotel Pano, *Albania Today*, July-August, p. 43., 1975)

The question of Modern Revisionism is an international phenomenon, not one that is isolated to the Soviet Union. Parties have degenerated to Revisionism from the USSR, USA, to most Western and East European countries and even Latin America. This is why we agree that Right opportunism is the main danger in the international Communist movement.

We must study and deepen our understanding on the question of the dictatorship of the proletariat which represents the dividing line between



Karl Marx and Frederick Engels said, "The Communists disdain to conceal their views and aims. They openly declare that their ends can be attained only by the forcible overthrow of all existing social conditions. Let the ruling classes tremble at a Communist revolution. The proletarians have nothing to lose but their chains. They have a world to win."

genuine Marxist-Leninists and modern day revisionists. Understanding the dictatorship of the proletariat is of fundamental importance as Chairman Mao Tse Tung says "lack of clarity on this question will lead to revisionism."

As well, we must, in order to make a genuine contribution to the building of the party of a new type, it becomes important to understand Lenin's statement that "unless a determined, ruthless struggle all along the line is conducted against opportunism it is useless to talk about the struggle against imperialism because the fight against imperialism is a sham and humbug unless it is inseparably bound up with the fight against opportunism" (V.I. Lenin, *Imperialism, The Highest Stage of Capitalism*).

We must take up a tit for tat struggle against modern revisionism which in this period has assumed the form of state power in the Soviet Union and has turned the once proud beacon of socialism into a country of social-imperialism and social-fascism. Revisionism is attempting to hold back the revolutionary struggle of the people of the world! The relationship of modern revisionism to changing our name is that we as Marxist-Leninists want to take a clear class stand on the side of the working class and oppressed nationalities in the struggle for socialist revolution and leave no room for the clouding of issues. To us the name change should be a consideration of these facts so that we as Marx said, not only understand reality but change it.

DOMESTIC SITUATION & THE CENTRAL TASK OF MARXIST-LENINISTS

With the international situation characterized by "great disorder", the sharpening of the fundamental contradictions of imperialism, have led to the decline of U.S. imperialism because of the successful wars of national liberation waged by heroic peoples of the Third World. And at the same time the other superpower, the U.S.S.R., is more and more aggressive since it is the latecomer seeking world hegemony and its "place in the sun". These two contradictions, the contradiction between the third world vs. imperialism and superpower contention, i.e., revolution and war, are the sharpest contradictions in the world today. And both these contradictions are intensifying the crisis in U.S. imperialism, where more and more these revolutions in the third world are cutting into the superprofits of imperialism, and the ruling class in the U.S. must lean more and more to rule by force, and less and less money is put into deceitful reforms. As the Chinese point out, "In their last-ditch struggle the imperialists always make fostering an opportunist faction in the workers' movement one of their basic policies. The supermonopoly profits they have grabbed enable them to buy over the upper stratum of workers and encourage opportunism. The old and new revisionists are all scabs bribed by the monopoly capitalists with their superprofits, the completely bourgeoisified labour aristocracy and the agents of imperialism. Worming their way into the international communist movement, they have made every effort to cover up the contradictions and nature of imperialism, prettify imperialism, spread the ideas of imperialism and numb the fighting will of the world's revolutionary people." (On Studying Some History about Imperialism, by Shih Chun, *Peking Review*, No. 25, 1972). But in order to make maximum profits the monopoly capitalists are taking away these crumbs, the bribes for the few and concessions for some others, and in the rightward movement the bourgeoisie is ruling more and more by open repression. This is laying the basis for spontaneous unrest throughout the land, massive reaction to the economic crisis that U.S. imperialism cannot find a way out of. And for this reason, the imperialist economic crisis, and because of the coming world war between the superpowers, the U.S. must move toward fascism in order to centralize its control of the economy to prepare to fight a nuclear world war.

This relationship between imperialism and opportunism and revisionism has made the struggle to accomplish the central task of building an anti-revisionist vanguard Communist Party ten times more difficult. But at the same time with the sharpening of the

contradictions of imperialism, the need for the Party to lead the revolution in the U.S.A. intensifies.

To deny the connection between imperialism and opportunism serves to conceal opportunism and not oppose and destroy it. In order to have a genuine anti-revisionist vanguard Communist Party capable of destroying imperialism, we must wage a ruthless struggle against opportunism and revisionism.

We must remember, comrades, that our central task is to build the Party of a new type because as Stalin put it "The Party constitutes the officer corps and general staff of the proletariat, who direct the struggle of the latter in all its forms and all spheres without exception, and combine the diverse forms of the struggle into one whole. To say that a Communist Party is not needed is equivalent to saying that the proletariat must fight without a general staff, without a leading core, who make a special study of the conditions of the struggle and work out the methods of fighting; it is equivalent to saying that it is better to fight without a general staff than with one, which is stupid." (Stalin: *On Strategy and Tactics*, p. 15.) Now our task is "To win the vanguard of the proletariat to the side of Communism (i.e., build up cadres, create a Communist Party, work out the programme, the principles of tactics). Propaganda as the chief form of activity." (Ibid., p. 24-25).

Now our work must be aimed at winning the best elements of the working class to the side of Communism, those who are the most devoted and most active to the cause of the proletariat, hammer out our line and program, form our ranks in order to put our party firmly on its feet, to paraphrase Comrade Stalin.

Since the decline of the CPUSA in the 40's, and its subsequent degeneration in the 50's into the tool of modern revisionism and Soviet Social imperialism after the death of Comrade Stalin, the process of building a revolutionary anti-revisionist vanguard Communist Party has been the central task of Marxist-Leninists. It must be clear that in this country there exists no Communist Party, only a revisionist party that talks of "peaceful transition" to socialism, which is a question Comrade Stalin dealt with in defending the banner of Leninism, when he stated "To think that such a revolution can be carried out peacefully, within the framework of bourgeois democracy, which is adapted to the rule of the bourgeoisie means that one has either gone out of one's mind and lost normal human understanding, or has grossly, and openly repudiated the proletarian revolution." (Stalin, *On the Opposition*, "Concerning Questions of Leninism", p. 279).

But even more today as the contradictions sharpen and the intensification of the revolutionary crisis in

10th Street Bookshop
224 East 10th Street N.Y., 10003, N.Y.
 tel. 730-9195

We carry the works of:
 MARK
 ENGELS
 LENIN
 STALIN
 MAO TSE TUNG
 ENVER HOXHA

OPEN MONDAY THRU FRIDAY
 1:00 P.M. to 6:00 P.M.

IN ENGLISH AND SPANISH
 ALL AT THE LOWEST PRICES IN TOWN!
 Ask for our catalog

★

Books and Magazines from
 Peoples Republic of China and Albania

AVAILABLE:
 A Retrograde Trend in Russian Social Democracy .50
 J. V. Stalin: A Short Biography-1950 Edition 2.00
 Analysis of Havana Conference .25
 The Puerto Rican National Question .50
 Collected Works of Lenin and many others 75.00

When ordering Books include postage and handling

Soon Available:
 On Strategy and Tactics - Stalin 1.00



(Marxist-Leninist-Mao Tse Tung Thought)

imperialist countries heightens, a new party, "a militant party, a revolutionary party, one bold enough to lead the proletarians in the struggle for power. . .", clearly this is what is needed, and not the various "parties" of opportunism, menshevism, social-democracy, and trotskyism!

WHY WE ARE CHANGING OUR NAME

Since we have declared ourselves to be seeking to gain clarity about the science of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought, the science of revolution, we are consciously moving to break with all forms of bourgeois ideology, particularly bourgeois nationalism, which negates class struggle and unites with the bourgeoisie against the working class. We internally are at the crossroads of developing genuine Marxist-Leninist cadre, vanguard fighters of the whole multinational working class and oppressed nationalities. Stalin raised the importance of making this break with bourgeois nationalism and explained that many times comrades in the border regions (the area of the oppressed nationalities in Russia) who out and out worship menshevism use their "nationalism", revolutionary in no respect to cover their menshevism, pretending to "honestly" support the struggles of the oppressed nationalities!

It has become important that we grasp the essence of Comrade Lenin's **Critical Remarks on the National Question**, where he says "The principle of nationality is historically inevitable in bourgeois society and, taking this society into due account, the Marxist fully recognizes the historical legitimacy of national movements. But to prevent this recognition from becoming an apologia of nationalism, it must be strictly limited to what is progressive in such movements, in order that this recognition may not lead to bourgeois ideology obscuring proletarian consciousness.

"The awakening of the masses from feudal lethargy, and their struggle against all national oppression, for sovereignty of the people, of the nation, are progressive. Hence, it is the Marxist's **bounden duty** to stand for the most resolute and consistent democratism on all aspects of the national question. This task is largely a negative one. But this is the limit the proletariat can go to in supporting nationalism, for beyond that begins the 'positive' activity of the bourgeoisie striving to fortify nationalism.

"To throw off the feudal yoke, all national oppression, and all privileges enjoyed by any particular nation or language, is the imperative duty of the proletariat as a democratic force, and is certainly in the interests of the proletarian class struggle, which is obscured and retarded by bickering on the national question. But to go **beyond** these strictly limited and definite his-

torical limits in helping bourgeois nationalism means betraying the proletariat and siding with the bourgeoisie. There is a border-line here, which is often very slight and which the bundists and Ukrainian nationalist-socialists completely lose sight of." (Lenin, CW., Vol. 20 pp. 34-35).

The objective division of the working class by means of racism and white chauvinism are two evils, whose economic base is imperialism, that Marxist-Leninists must see as the principal reasons for the response of narrow or reactionary nationalism from among oppressed nationalities. "And that National Chauvinism from so-called Marxist-Leninists only adds to that division." (Unity & Struggle, March edition, 1976 "Imperialism & Revolution," p. 11)

The name Congress of Afrikan People is scientifically incorrect because we are not Afrikans, but Afro-Americans which correctly describes our nationality, also the name emphasizes the national form of our organization over its proletarian outlook. To say "Congress" and "People" to us implies a mass character rather than an organization based on democratic centralism.

Lenin in his essay "What Should be the Name of our Party? - One that Will Be Correct Scientifically And Help to Clarify the Minds of the Proletariat Politically" said "objectively, however, the world situation is such that the old name of our party makes it easier to fool the people and impedes the onward march." We agree!!

Because we are changing the name of our organization does not mean that we are declaring ourselves a party, as some opportunist, philistines, trotskyites and fellow-travelers have and to these so-called "parties" that do "exist" we advance the position of Lenin, "... the highest form of proletarian class organization began to arise, viz., the revolutionary party of the proletariat (which will not deserve the name until it learns to bind the leaders with the class and the masses into one single indissoluble whole. . ." (Lenin, *Left-Wing Communism, An Infantile Disorder*, p. 41)

The name Congress of Afrikan People has become an obstacle to the building of the multi-national Communist Party, and it does not help unite Marxist-Leninists and win the advanced to Communism, i.e., Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought. And this name C.A.P. does not serve to educate the minds of the proletariat nor clearly and openly put forth our aims as Marx said, "The Communists disdain to conceal their views and aims. They openly declare that their ends can be attained only by the forcible overthrow of all existing social conditions. Let the ruling classes tremble at a Communist revolution. The proletarians have nothing to lose but their chains. They have a

world to win." (Communist Manifesto, Marx-Engels, p. 76). Some people will take the position that we are liquidating the national question (as did the New York Times, chief propagandist of the "enlightened" bourgeoisie, in our transition to Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought). But nothing could be further from the truth since the Afro-American national question is inseparably linked to the question of proletarian revolution.

The organization's name has been changed from C.A.P. to the Revolutionary Communist League (M-L-M), because we, are Revolutionaries and anti-revisionists as opposed to



A Bolshevik Party in the U.S.A., what V.I. Lenin, the founder of the Russian Communist Party (B) and leader of the October Revolution in 1917, called the party of a new type, is what we need to make socialist revolution in the U.S.A. Without such a revolutionary party we cannot defeat U.S. imperialism and Soviet Social Imperialism.

reformists. As Lenin said "The approach of a great storm is felt everywhere. All classes are in a state of ferment and preparation." "Revolutionary" is to denote our fighting spirit and fighting organization! And that we hold to Marx's tenet that only proletarian revolution can bring socialism.

"Communist League", because this was the name of the organization of the founders of scientific socialism, Marx and Engels. "Communist" because Lenin said in 1917, "We must call ourselves the Communist Party-just as Marx and Engels called themselves. The name 'Social-Democracy' is scientifically incorrect, as Marx frequently pointed out. . . From capitalism mankind can pass directly only to socialism, i.e., to the social ownership of the means of production and the distribution of products according to the amount of work performed by each individual. Our Party looks farther ahead: socialism must inevitably evolve gradually into communism, upon the banner of which is inscribed the motto, 'From each according to his ability, to each according to his needs.'" (Lenin, CW., Vol. 24, pp. 55-91).

"League", because this best characterizes our development historically which was the joining together of different organizations. As a pre-party formation we must continue to struggle to build a revolutionary organization based on democratic centralism, and see as our most important task in this period to deepen our study in order to firmly grasp Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought, the science of revolution!!

BACKGROUND ON THE COMMUNIST LEAGUE FOUNDED BY KARL MARX

The main organization of the German workers' movement of the 1830's was the League of the Just. This secret organization was formed by German emigrants in Paris in 1836. Engels had already been in contact with the League circle in London and both he and Marx

respected the League for its militancy and recognized its importance as a worker's organization. At this time, however, the League was under the influence of the line of the utopian communists and anarchists, particularly Wilhelm Weitling, whose line was that the lumpen-proletariat was the leading revolutionary force in society and the revolution would come spontaneously and there was no need for preparatory work, especially no need for political education of the working class. Marx and Engels were unwilling to unite with this harmful line, and therefore did not join with these workers until that line was abandoned by the League. They therefore made an intermediate step, setting up the Communist Correspondence Committee, a network of communists, to conduct propaganda among the ranks of the German communists and to win the advanced over to the principles of scientific socialism. The Communist Correspondence Committee held meetings and exchanged vital analysis of the movement with working class militants in England, France, and Germany, and in particular they corresponded with the sections of the League of the Just. And with this line struggle, eventually succeeded in winning the League closer to a scientific line.

Early in 1847, based on the intense ideological struggle between Weitlings' brand of utopian communism and anarchism and the scientific socialism founded by Marx and Engels, a congress was held in London, which Engels and Wilhelm Wolff attended representing the Communist Correspondence Committee, and this congress transformed the League of the Just into the **Communist League**, and reorganized its structure along the lines of democratic centralism (though it was necessary for the League to remain secret). Their first articles of rules reflected Marx and Engels views in that, "The aim of the League is the overthrow of the bourgeoisie, the rule of the proletariat, the abolition of the old, bourgeois society based on class antagonisms, and the foundation of a new society without private property." (Quoted by Engels 'On the History of the Communist League', MESW, p. 440)

The second congress of the Communist League discussed the principles of scientific socialism for several days in a presentation by Marx and Engels, and at the end of this congress Marx and Engels were mandated to draft a statement of principles for the League, Marx sent the "Manifesto of the Communist Party" to London at the end of January, 1846.

The Manifesto was published as the platform of the Communist League, a worker's organization. This manifesto outlined the historical mission of the proletariat in ushering in a new epoch in the history of mankind, the overthrow of capitalism and the building of socialism and communism, under the leadership of the Communist Party. But the Communist League was hunted by the police until finally the central committee itself was arrested in Cologne. After 18 months imprisonment, they were tried in October, 1852, ending in the imprisonment of seven comrades. Immediately after the sentencing, the League was formally dissolved by the remaining members.

We also want to make reference to Engels on the importance of the name "Communist" in distinguishing Communists from utopian schemes of socialism. Engels says, "Yet, when it was written, we could not have called it 'Socialist' Manifesto. By 'socialist', in 1847, were understood, on the one hand, the adherents of the various utopian systems: Owenites in England, Fourierists in France, both of them already reduced to the position of mere sects, and gradually dying out; on the

(Continued on page 8)

CHINA'S FOREIGN POLICY

- Sino-U.S. Joint Communiqué \$.25
- Speeches at the U.N. by Chiao Kuan-hua (5) \$1.00
- Documents from the Fourth National People's Congress \$.50
- Chinese-Korean Friendship \$.50
- Great Victories of the Cambodian People ... \$.25
- Vietnamese People's Great Victories \$.35
- China's Voice in the U.N. by Susan Warren \$2.95
- On the Chungking Negotiations by Mao Tsetung \$.25
- Subscription to *Peking Review*, airmailed every week from Peking direct with the latest information on China's foreign policy \$4.50/year.



CHINA BOOKS & PERIODICALS, INC.

125 Fifth Avenue, New York NY 10003
210 W. Madison Street, Chicago IL 60606
2929 - 24th Street, San Francisco CA 94110

Name _____
Address _____
City _____ State _____ Zip _____
Date _____ Payment enclosed \$ _____ (incl. tax)

Send free catalog of books, magazines, posters, records, stamps, greeting cards, etc.

RCL (M-L-M)

(Continued from page 7)

other hand, the most multifarious social quacks, who, by all manners of tinkering, professed to redress, without any danger to capital and profit, all sorts of social grievances; in both cases men outside the working-class movement, and looking rather to the 'educated' classes for support. Whatever portion of the working class had become convinced of the insufficiency of mere political revolutions, and had proclaimed the necessity of a total rough-hewn, purely instinctive sort of communism; still, it touched the cardinal point and was powerful enough among the working class to produce the utopian communism. . . Thus, socialism was, in 1847, a middle-class movement, communism, a working-class movement. There could be no doubt as to which of the two names we must take, moreover, we have, ever since, been far from repudiating it." (Preface to 1888 English Ed. of Communist Manifesto).

THE ERA HAS NOT CHANGED

"Joseph Stalin said, 'Leninism is Marxism of the era of imperialism and the proletarian revolution.' This is entirely correct. Since Lenin's death, the world situation had undergone great changes. But the era has not changed. The fundamental principles of Leninism are not out dated; they remain the theoretical basis guiding our thinking today." (Chou-En-Lai, 10th National Congress of the C.P.C.).

"In the historical conditions of the epoch of imperialism and proletarian revolution, Lenin carried Marxism forward to a new stage and showed all the oppressed classes and people the path along which they could really shake off capitalist imperialist enslavement and poverty.

"There have been different ways of describing the distinctions between different 'epochs.' Generally speaking, there is one way which is merely drivel, concocting and playing around with vague, ambiguous phrases to cover up the essence of the epoch. This is the old trick of the imperialists, the bourgeoisie and the revisionists in the workers' movement. Then there is another way, which is to make a concrete analysis of the concrete situation with regard to the over-all class contradictions and class struggle, putting forward strictly scientific definitions, and thus bringing the essence of the epoch thoroughly to light. This is the work of every serious Marxist." (Ibid.)

On the features that distinguish an epoch, Lenin said:

"We are speaking here of big historical epochs; in every epoch there are, and there will be, separate, partial movements sometimes forward, at other times backwards; there are, and there will be, various deviations from the average type and average tempo of the movements. We cannot know how fast and how successfully certain historical movements of the given epoch will develop. But we can and do know which class occupies a central position in this or that epoch and determines its main content, the main direction of its development, the main characteristics of the historical situation in the given epoch, etc." ("Under a False Flag", CW, Vol. 21, p. 125).

Only on this, i.e., by taking into consideration first and foremost the fundamental distinctive features of different "epochs" (and not of individual episodes in the history of different countries) can we correctly work out our tactics. . . (Ibid.)

Lenin always demanded that we examine the concrete process of historical development on the basis of class analysis, instead of talking vaguely about "society in general" or "progress in general." We Marxists must not base proletarian policy merely on certain passing events or minute political changes, but on the over-all con-

traditions and class struggle of whole historical epoch. This is a basic theoretical position of Marxists. It was by taking a firm stand on this position that Lenin, in the new period of class changes, in the new historical period, came to the conclusion that the hope of humanity lay entirely with the victory of the proletariat and that the proletariat must prepare itself to win victory in this great revolutionary battle and establish a proletarian dictatorship. After the October Revolution, at the 7th Congress of the Russian Communist Party (Bolsheviks) in 1918, Lenin stated: "We must begin with the general basis of the development of commodity production, the transition to capitalism and the transformation of capitalism into imperialism. Thereby we shall be theoretically taking up and consolidating a position from which nobody can dislodge us. From this follows an equally inevitable conclusion: the era of social revolution is beginning." ("Report on Revising the Program and Name of the Party," SW, 1943, p. 317.)

This is Lenin's conclusion, a conclusion which up to the present still requires deep consideration by all Marxists.

"The formulation of revolutionary Marxists that ours is the epoch of imperialism and proletarian revolution, the epoch of the victory of Socialism and Communism is irrefutable, because it grasps with complete correctness the basic features of our present great epoch. The formulation that Leninism is the continuation and development of revolutionary Marxism in this great epoch and that it is the theory and policy of proletarian revolution and proletarian dictatorship is also irrefutable, because it is precisely Leninism that exposed the contradictions in our great epoch - the contradictions between the working class and monopoly capital, the contradictions among the imperialist countries, the contradictions between the colonial and semi-colonial peoples and imperialism, and the contradictions between the socialist countries, where the proletariat has triumphed, and the imperialist countries. Leninism has, therefore, become our banner of victory." (Essential Works of Marxism, "Long Live Leninism", p. 523-528.)

REVOLUTIONARY COMMUNIST LEAGUE (M-L-M)

We are proposing our name to be changed to Revolutionary Communist League with the words Marxist-Leninist-Mao Tse Tung Thought, in brackets. When Lenin proposed that "Bolshevik" in brackets be the suffix of the name of the Russian Communist Party, he pointed out that the name Bolshevik had "acquired rights of citizenship in the political life of Russia" and throughout the world, as distinguished from the opportunism of the Mensheviks.

The purpose was that the RCP (B) "must make the most decisive, sharp, clear and unambiguous statement that is possible to the effect that it has broken off connections with that old official socialism, for which purpose a change in the name of the Party will be the most effective means." ("Report on the review of the programme and on Changing the Name of the Party," 1918, Lenin, SW in 3 volumes Vol. 2 pp. 603-604.)

On the other hand, the most important argument in favor of changing the name of the Party was that "up to now the old official socialist parties in all the leading European countries have still not got rid of their intoxication with social-chauvinism and social-patriotism that led to the complete collapse of European official socialism during the present war, so that up to now almost all official socialist parties have been a real hindrance to the working class revolutionary socialist movement, a real encumbrance to it." (Ibid., p. 605)

The suffix "M-L" has been used to distinguish between genuine Communist organizations and parties and modern revisionism. But recently we have seen too many parties and organizations with the suffix "M-L", using that suffix as a cover for attacking Comrade Mao Tse Tung, and not recognizing the important contributions Comrade Mao Tse Tung has made to Marxism-Leninism, many do not understand that in the present period it is Mao Tse Tung Thought that represents the line of demarcation between Modern Revisionists and genuine Marxist-Leninists. Recent events in China, in terms of the whole struggle against the capitalist-roaders and bad elements who with their reactionary slogans in a vain attempt to undermine the mighty dictatorship of the proletariat, advanced: "We believe in Marxism-Leninism". . . "Those scholars who emasculate Marxism-Leninism, what we want is genuine Marxism-Leninism". . . "for the sake of genuine Marxism-Leninism" (Hsinhua News release). These are the slogans of the revisionists who seek to deny the fundamental importance of Mao Tse Tung Thought and the revolutionary proletarian line of Comrade Mao Tse Tung, and we must constantly be on guard to smash its ugly head when it raises up.

We are convinced that one of the clearest ways for us to draw the line today is to use this suffix (M-L-M) and in our practice continuously uphold and defend the banner of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought while uniting with other Marxist-Leninists and advanced forces to build the Anti-Revisionist Vanguard Communist Party, rooted in the principles of Bolshevism, that will lead the working class and oppressed nationalities to make socialist revolution in the U.S.A.

To explain the meaning and importance of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought and why we propose its usage as a suffix for our name R.C.L. (M-L-M) rather than Marxist-Leninist, it is necessary to understand what Mao Tse Tung Thought is and how it must be applied as "the acme of Marxism-Leninism in the present era" to the struggles in all countries against imperialism, Soviet Social-Imperialism, Modern Revisionism and all reaction. ("A Compass for the Great Proletarian Cultural Revolution", Mao Tse Tung, Talks at the Yanan Forum on Literature and Art, appendix, p. 80, Hongqi, Red Flag, #9, 1966)

In explaining the connection, the inextricable link of Leninism to Marxism, Comrade Stalin, answering questions from the Young Communist League, stated: ". . . a brief remark about Marxism and Leninism. Such a formulation of the question might lead one to think that Marxism is one thing and Leninism another, that one can be a Leninist without being a Marxist. Such an idea cannot be regarded as correct. Leninism is not Lenin's teaching minus Marxism. Leninism is Marxism of the epoch of imperialism and proletarian revolution. In other words, Leninism includes all that was taught by Marx plus Lenin's new contribution to the treasury of Marxism, and what necessarily follows from all that was taught by Marx (teaching on the dictatorship of the proletariat, the peasant question, the national question, the Party, the question of the social roots of reformism, the question of the principal deviations in communism, and so forth). It would be better, therefore, to formulate the question in such a way as to speak of Marxism or of Leninism (which fundamentally are the same) and not of Marxism and Leninism." ("The Tasks of the Young Communist League," Stalin, CW.)

In the present period Mao Tse Tung Thought, has developed Marxism-Leninism to a new stage. Under the new conditions of struggles of the proletariat, oppressed people and nations against imperialism, Soviet Social imperialism, Modern Revisionism and all reaction. As a result of the all-round restoration of

capitalism in the Soviet Union, the concept of the three worlds has emerged in accordance with reality and the scientific analysis of classes, since the rise of modern revisionism to state power, there no longer exists a Socialist camp and an imperialist camp. Today three worlds exist, and both interconnected and in contradiction to one another. The United States and the Soviet Union make up the First World. The developing countries in Asia, Afrika, Latin America and other regions make up the Third World. The developed countries between the two make up the Second World.

It has been the Thought of Mao Tse Tung and his proletarian revolutionary line, which has led a tit for tat battle against the revisionists at home and abroad who have tried to cut the core out of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought, that core being class struggle, proletarian revolution and the dictatorship of the proletariat. Chairman Mao Tse Tung, the greatest Marxist-Leninist of our time has repeatedly stated, "never forget classes, class struggle and the dictatorship of the proletariat. . . and has deepened the teachings of Marx, Engels, Lenin, and Stalin, adding the rich lessons learned in applying Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought to the concrete conditions of the Chinese revolution. Contributing the concept of Mass line, New Democracy, the use and development of the concept Cultural Revolution - the consolidation of power necessary to defeat revisionism, and the theory of People's War which is the application of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought to protracted revolutionary warfare plus new contributions to the understanding of dialectics. In reference to the great victory of the dictatorship of the proletariat in defeating the revisionist line of the capitalist roaders inside the Chinese Communist Party we must give special study to Chairman Mao's theory on classes, class contradictions and class struggle in the period of socialism which sums up the following:

"To have a correct understanding of classes and class struggle in the socialist period and firmly grasp the principal contradiction in socialist society is a fundamental question that concerns our getting a deep understanding of the character, target, tasks and prospects of the socialist revolution and correctly implementing the Party's line and policies. To change the Party's basic line, subvert the dictatorship of the proletariat and restore capitalism, the revisionists invariably obliterate class struggle and distort the principal contradiction in socialist society. In the course of his struggle against revisionism over the past more than 20 years, Chairman Mao has systematically, comprehensively and profoundly expounded class contradictions in socialist society. His teachings are a powerful ideological weapon for combating and preventing revisionism and consolidating the dictatorship of the proletariat. In the current struggle to counterattack the Right deviationist wind to reverse correct deviations, we must redouble our efforts to study and have a good grasp of the series of directives given by Chairman Mao on class struggle in the socialist period so as to criticize the revisionist programme of 'taking the three directives as the key link.'" (Peking Review #17, p. 14, 1976.)

Comrade Mao Tse Tung has creatively and comprehensively inherited, defended and developed Marxism-Leninism and his Thought is the acme of Marxism-Leninism in the present era, the era when the colonies, semi-colonies, and neo-colonies are all rising up against imperialism, soviet social-imperialism and its lackies. "Similarly Mao Tse Tung Thought can

(Continued on page 9)

RCL (M-L-M)

(Continued from page 8)

only emerge in the present era in which imperialism is heading for total collapse and socialism is advancing to worldwide victory." (Study Philosophy, Reprints from Peking Review, "How Engels Criticized Duhring's Apriorism", p. 6)

However, we must be aware of the class enemies who try to oppose Mao Tse Tung Thought by raising it in absolute terms "... Liu Shao-chi and swindlers like him kept changing their tactics in opposing Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought. At first they described Marxism-Leninism in absolute terms and negated the fact that Mao Tse Tung Thought is a development of Marxism-Leninism. After this tactic had failed, they in turn tried to make Mao Tse Tung Thought absolute and denied the fact that Mao Tse Tung Thought can develop continuously. The greatness of Chairman Mao lies precisely in the fact that he always stands in the van of history and continuously make his thought and practice advance together. Making Mao Tse Tung Thought absolute and solidified in itself is counter to Mao Tse Tung Thought. Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought has in no way exhausted truth but ceaselessly opens up roads to the knowledge of truth in the course of practice. Liu Shao-chi and the other swindlers superficially praised Mao Tse Tung Thought, but actually disparaged and slandered it; superficially they wanted to establish the absolute authority of Chairman Mao, but actually they were trying to establish their own absolute authority. We must thoroughly expose their vicious and treacherous tactics!" (Study Philosophy, Reprints from Peking Review, p. 6) "Cast away illusions, prepare for struggle."

PEOPLE OF THE WORLD
SMASH U.S. IMPERIALISM AND
SOVIET SOCIAL IMPERIALISM!

IN THE U.S.A., MARXIST-
LENINISTS UNITE, WIN THE AD-
VANCED TO COMMUNISM,
BUILD A VANGUARD MARXIST-
LENINIST COMMUNIST PARTY!

FIGHT RIGHT OPPORTUNISM
THE MAIN DANGER IN THE COM-
MUNIST MOVEMENT AND IN-
FANTILE SECTARIANISM!

REFERENCES

V.I. Lenin, "What Should Be The Name Of Our Party: One That Will Be Correct Scientifically and Help To Clarify the Mind of the Proletariat Politically", Vol. 24, part of April Theses, Collected Works.

"Under A False Flag", Vol. 21, pp. 137-157 on question of epochs, Collected Works.

Karl Marx

"Critical Remarks on the National Question", Vol. 20, Collected Works.

Karl Marx & F. Engels, Manifesto of the Communist Party, whole book and special ref. to 1888 English preface by Engels on name.

J.V. Stalin, Foundations of Leninism, esp. p. 1-11 on era.

On the Opposition, esp., "Trotskyism or Leninism," pp. 105-138 & "Concerning Questions of Leninism," pp. 268-346.

Chou En Lai, 10th National Party Congress, page 21 on question of what era it is.

Arthur Mendel (Ed.), "Long Live Leninism," Essential Works of Marxism pp. 517-562 on era & epochs.

Han Suyin, Morning Deluge, Mao Tse Tung Thought, pp. 116, 356, 417-19, 422, 444, 475, 519.

Study Philosophy, Peking Review Reprints, "How Engels Criticized Duhring's Apriorism," p. 2-6.

CAN YOU DISTRIBUTE
UNITY & STRUGGLE
IN YOUR AREA?

see page 12

CAP HISTORY

(Continued from page 5)

September 1972 in San Diego the conflict which had been brewing between the Black Humanists in CAP and the Kawaidists in the organization had reached the point where a split was inevitable. At the San Diego conference Amiri Baraka was elected Chairman of CAP and Hayward Henry and his fellow Black Humanists split from CAP (not formally but actually).

The emergence of Amiri Baraka into the leadership signalled the victory of Kawaida as practiced by CFUN-CAP and it signalled a greater emphasis on unifying the organization and overcoming the "looseness" that had so characterized us previously. The CAP line put out at San Diego was Nationalism, Pan-Africanism & Ujamaa (African Scientific Socialism).

In summing up the gains and mistakes of this period of our development, we see several things which we consider to be generally positive. Namely, the split with Maulana Karenga & US organization and the degeneracy of both, our attention to and support of Afrikan liberation struggles plus our involvement in ALD-ALDCC, the election of Amiri Baraka to leadership and the motion toward a unified national political organization, the split with the Black Humanist Fellows and the removal of Hayward Henry from CAP leadership, our growing disillusionment with petty bourgeois opportunist politicians.

Our errors were first and foremost a deeper involvement with electoral, reformist politics, e.g., Gary NBPC, NBA and local elections, the development and spread of Kawaida and independent Kawaida oriented social practices, our romanticization of Pan-Africanism, e.g., World African Party, putting forth eclectic and petty bourgeois definitions of socialism, e.g., Afrikan Scientific Socialism, Ujamaa, etc., in opposition to Marxist-Leninist analysis.

It was subjective idealism which gave rise to most of our errors. For example, the belief that Afrikan culture reclaimed and projected by black people would provide the consciousness for us to liberate ourselves was certainly not based on an objective analysis of material reality. Or the belief that our involvement in bourgeois electoral politics would lead to the national liberation of black people and that this process could go on wherever Africans existed and that one day we would be able to link up into a World African Party and thus liberate Africans world wide. This subjective thinking led us deeper and deeper into reformism, understandably. Because as Comrade Mao Tse Tung says "subjective guidance of work inevitably result either in opportunism or putschism." (Selected Military Writings, p. 59)

Because we had had negative experiences with organizations purporting to be socialist (e.g., SWP, CPUSA) both of whom played down the struggle of blacks against national oppression as a minor detail, we rejected the science of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought. Thus on the basis of our experience only, we committed the error of empiricism. As the Chinese comrades say, "All things in the world are interconnected and at the same time different from one another. In practice, we should not only pay attention to the individual character of everything, that is, the particular contradiction it contains which distinguishes it from other things, so as to take appropriate measures to solve the contradictions accordingly. That is what we mean by using the right key to open the lock. Likewise, we cannot use one prescription to cure all diseases. As to experiences gained from one thing, some may be applicable to other things, others may be partly applicable to and still others may be completely inapplicable. To neglect the particularity of contradictions and mechanically apply old experience is empiricism." (Study Philosophy, p. 19)

STAGE FIVE

The addition of Dadisi Muata and Sala Udin to EXCO as new forces to the Kawaida-Pan Afrikanist trend facilitated the consolidation of the Kawaida-Pan Afrikanist trend as the dominant trend over the Black Humanist Fellows-Christian Nationalist trend and deepened the split in CAP. By the end of 1973, the Black Humanist Fellows (Hayward Henry, Mjenzi Kazana, Lou Gothard), non Kawaida elements (Poppy Sharp, Bill Land, Ron Daniels, Frank Satterwhite) and some extreme right wing Kawaida elements (Balozi Zayd Muhammad &c.) had left CAP. The break with H. Henry and the Black Humanist Fellows led to total domination and consolidation of the Kawaida-Pan Afrikanist trend. The conditions were set for the split between the right and left wing elements with the Kawaida-Pan Afrikanist trend in CAP. The two line struggle developed around: Looseknit Organization and Ideology versus Unitary Organization and Ideology; "Orthodox" Kawaida versus Pan Afrikanism and "Revolutionary Kawaida"; Social Practices, e.g., polygamy, chauvinism, feudalism, &c.; and ALSIC. The right wing elements, Jitu and Haki wanted to emphasize the cultist, bohemian and metaphysical aspects of cultural nationalism. Jitu to the point of attempting to reaise straight up Karenga-Kawaida as even opposed to electoral politics and mass movement work base. Haki's practice of cultural nationalism included a fanatical concern with diet-drinking of distilled water and eating raw vegetables: Jitu and Haki wanted to dress up Afrikan in dashikis and robes like this was "pregerm Afrika" and practicing feudalism in relationship to women. Feudalism that says that the woman has a place just behind or under the man. Finally the struggle of them was to build petty bourgeois black institutions. (The line of small producers and shopkeepers for their smaller share of the black market.)

The left wing, led by the Chairman of CAP and Newark Cadre, Amiri Baraka rejected many of the reactionary aspects of Kawaida such a polygamy, feudalism, cultism, chauvinism (male and racial) and emphasized what it could identify to be the "cutting edges" of Revolutionary Kawaida - Nationalism, Pan Afrikanism and Socialism (Ujamaa). A standardized collection of 10 Phases of Kawaida Doctrine was printed by the Chairman of CAP so that it could be collectively studied and interpreted in CAP, its revolutionary aspects emphasized and the reactionary, cultist and metaphysical aspects criticized and eliminated. The theoretical source of Revolutionary Kawaida was identified to be Malcolm X, Nkrumah, Toure, Nyerere, Cabral and Mao Tse Tung. The left wing's line on organization was that CAP should be developed as a unitary, ideologically disciplined organization. This was the basis for the push for consolidation of the small competing individual operations of the East-Brooklyn Cadre, IPE-Chicago Cadre and CFUN-Newark Cadre into "one newspaper, one publishing company and one powerful commercial development program." The ideological papers, "The Meaning and Development of Revolutionary Kawaida" and "Creating A Unified Consciousness..." was written by the Chairman of CAP during this period the two line struggle between the right wing and left wing elements of Kawaida.

The two line struggle was influenced and intensified by CAP attention to the Afrikan Liberation Struggles being waged and subsequent work and involvement in ALSIC (Afrikan Liberation Support Committee) whose stand was growing increasingly anti imperialist. This was alarming the CAP right wing nationalists, Jitu and Haki and brought on the struggle "as to CAP leaving or staying in it struggling." Jitu and Haki felt that ALSIC was moving too far to the left certainly past their

romantic version of "pre germ Afrika."

At Frogmore CAP had agreed to a compromise on the SOP (Statement of Principles), which was that a paragraph on cultural aggression would be included in the SOP and agreement that the "Marxist language" would be maintained. (CAP was taking the line toward what we labeled "Marxist language" based on narrow nationalism which is unscientific and metaphysical in its method and opposes Marxist science.) This had been agreed to by Haki and the East but only to be raised up again by them at a "extended" meeting of the secretariat in D.C. in opposition to what had been agreed by CAP at the Frogmore meeting. This brought on the Greensboro conference, at which locals were supposed to bring criticisms of the SOP. Nelson Johnson and Abdul Alkalimat were supposed to "defend" the document. The Greensboro meeting saw the defeat of the narrow nationalist line and the intensification of the split within CAP. As a result of the relative lack of organizing in relationship to the presentation of the official looking ALSIC document and position, that attacked without quarter the theoretical positions of most of the people initially in the front, many patriotic brothers and sisters were driven out of the front in addition to the right wing elements. The D.C. Conference, where positions were put forward by the "orthodox PanAfrikanists"-Stokely Carmichael (All Afrikan People's Revolutionary Party) and Kwadwo Akpan (Pan Afrikan Congress, U.S.A.); Bourgeois Nationalism as Pan-Africanism and Cultural Nationalism, "Anti-Imperialism" & the projection of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought - Owusu Sadukai, [or at least its projection by an ex-narrow nationalist - Pan-Afrikanist now repudiating this as a developing Marxist-Leninist or "Anti-Imperialist." It is interesting to note that Abdul Al Kalimat whose presentation was thought by many ALSIC elements to be the most correct presentation of an "orthodox Marxist-Leninist" position, in that it clearly put forth class struggle between the proletariat and the bourgeoisie as the principal contradiction in US society, and was therefore a cold antidote to the various presentations which included some element of bourgeois nationalism (through RWL criticized Alkalimat's speech in its ALSIC history which had limited circulation as having certain elements of bourgeois nationalism because it mentioned "the black working class"). Alkalimat was later purged from RWL as a "renegade" for his "centrist line" and "his conciliation with the revisionist "CP'SU and CP'USA", which "mutated to conciliation with the revisionism of the RU" (Bolshevik, p. 68).]

"Revolutionary Kawaida" with the beginnings of Marxist-Leninist analysis—Amiri Baraka. (What sums up the speech, which was an attempt to merge so called "revolutionary Kawaida" with the beginnings of Marxist-Leninist analysis, is this quote from Toward Ideological Clarity, "We advance an ideology that is based on cultural analysis and Nationalism, Panafrikanism and Socialism as its three cutting edges.") CAP saw the split in ALSIC and the whole Black Liberation Movement, "one break into two."

The Midwest Region CAP Conference saw the split with Jitu and Haki reach its antagonist stage. This conference saw the CAP Chairman, Amiri Baraka give a speech calling for the use of Stalin, Lenin and Mao in CAP ideology. Jitu and Haki, both resigned from CAP one day after the Conference in Chicago. The resignations came in the form of letters giving the reasons why they felt that had to resign. This was truly the style of individualism and liberalism because neither sought to discuss this matter with the Chairman of CAP during that weekend. Although they had discussion with the Chairman of CAP but about unrelated matters knowing all the time that "problems" had reached a critical point. There was even opportunity to discuss the matter

(Continued on page 10)

CAP HISTORY

(Continued from page 9)

in two separate meetings that were held that weekend. Jitu even rode back on the same plane with the Chairman (next to him!) to New York and never initiated any discussion on this matter (his resignation) knowing well what his actions would be once returning to Brooklyn. Their letters were responded to thoroughly in a paper written by Amiri Baraka, "Haki Madhubuti and Jitu Weusi - Two Reactionary Nationalists, Individualism Brings Two Resignations" that was published as a series in Unity and Struggle. The paper exposed the essence of this right wing Kawaida line, i.e., the reactionary aspects of Kawaida and its attendant social practices of male chauvinism, bohemianism, petty bourgeois capitalism, elitism, food faddism, narrow nationalism, competing small groupism and feudalism. The break with Jitu and Haki represented the CAP break with the many reactionary aspects of Kawaida mentioned above.

The gains of this period in CAP's development were the departure of the Black Humanist Fellows and other recalcitrant types who represented an obstruction to the building of an unitary national organization and development of the line of Nationalism, Pan Africanism and Socialism (Ujamaa). The addition of Dadi and Sala Udin to EXCO as new forces to the Kawaida-Pan Africanist trend facilitated the consolidation of the Kawaida-Pan Africanist as the totally dominate trend in CAP. The ALSC was a positive influence on the development of anti-imperialist consciousness in CAP and intensified the two line struggle between the right and left Kawaida. The break with Haki and Jitu (right wing Kawaida) represented the break with many of the reactionary aspects of Kawaida and its attendant social practices and allowed CAP's anti imperialist consciousness to develop at a more accelerated rate minus the obstructions that Jitu and Haki represented.

The mistakes of this period were continuing to deal with Kawaida in any form and its attendant social practices. Not making a clean break with reactionary nationalism. The refusal to take up the direct study and application of Marxism-Leninism and continuing to use eclectic "Afrikan" definitions of socialism, e.g., Nkrumah's, Nyerere's Ujamaa, & c. The failure to have more open discussions of socialism inside CAP.

The reasons for continuing to adhere to reactionary nationalism while trying to gain clarity on socialism was based on pragmatism "any thing useful is a truth" and empiricism "to neglect the particularity of contradictions and mechanically apply old experience."

STAGE SIX

The sixth stage of the development of CAP begins with a transitional period that stretches from April to October of 1974. It was a period in which there was marked ideological struggle between the two lines of reactionary nationalism and the growing consciousness and acceptance of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought. April marks the break with the prior period in that it represents the month that the ideological struggle that was raging took an organizational form with the resignations of the two staunchest defenders of bourgeois nationalism, Jitu Weusi and Haki Madhubuti. They were both members of the CAP Political Council.

Their resignations were seen as a good thing and that they "should strengthen the Congress of Afrikan People, since it should enable us to forge ahead with the work of putting together our indigenous, unitary, ideology of a revolutionary party; "Comments of Chairman on Resignations of Haki Madhubuti and Jitu Weusi (IPE and The East).

The struggle then took the form of an internal battle against past practices and ideas of Kawaida that still gripped our

organization. It was during this time that the papers "Black People and Imperialism" and "National Liberation and Politics" were written both of which quote Marx, Lenin and Mao Tse Tung, however, they both put forward an incorrect view on party building, seeing a need for a black party and that CAP would grow to be that party.

May, historically African Liberation Month, brought the conference at Howard University at which CAP delivered the paper Toward Ideological Clarity. While this paper represented a tremendous ideological leap for CAP it continued to maintain the incorrect position on the black party.

This was followed by perhaps the pivotal point in this transition period, i.e., the Sixth Pan African Congress, in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. From the beginning to the end, the Sixth PAC reflected the two line struggle between bourgeois nationalism and scientific socialism. In keeping with this, the progressive line on socialist development for Africa and the world defeated the bourgeois "Pan African" line of Senghor, Tubman, Oba Tshaka and Ed Vaughn.

Upon arrival back in the states, the historic African Womens Conference was held in Newark, New Jersey in July. This conference was to reflect a sharp intensification of the two line struggle, added to it the particular aspect of the woman question. Following this, the changing ideological influence begins to manifest itself in the social practices, the newspaper and other aspects of the organization. Central Council voted to abandon much of the nationalist protocol of prior days. It was during this time that "CAP Going Through Changes" was released. New reading lists issued during this period that reflects a need to study Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought as well, the dissemination of new definitions of Marxist scientific terminology.

October saw increased re-definition in the format of the historical CAP holiday Leo Baraka later totally eliminated, which put forward three reasons for its continuation: 1) to emphasize cadre development; 2) to study Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought; 3) to concentrate on the woman question. The same month witnessed the first General Assembly of the Congress of Afrikan People as a Marxist-Leninist formation. This historic General Assembly stated "we must go forward in tune with the march of oppressed people throughout the world carrying a banner and fiery torch of liberation. We must grasp firmly the principles of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought". This General Assembly was also marked by continuing struggle against cultural nationalism and it marked a beginning of an internal struggle with comrades from the Houston, Texas cadre who raised the banner of the productive forces theory, cult of the personality of leadership, and anti-democratic centralism. New organizational slogans were adopted: "Gain a clear knowledge of socialist theory; Defeat opportunism; Unite the party around scientific socialism; Build the Congress of Afrikan People." (In the sense it was used, the using of "party" was incorrect and came from a shallow understanding of CAP as a pre-party formation and not understanding the difference between the pre-party formation and the party.)

Also at this time, the first Marxist-Leninist Political Council was elected. The General Assembly marked the end of the transitional period and the beginning of a growing and developing Marxist-Leninist organization. The opportunism that we pledged to defeat in our new slogan manifested itself in two deviations a "left" liquidationism, and a right restoration of bourgeois ideology as "socialism." The "left" liquidationist tendency manifested in the way some comrades thought that acceptance of socialism meant to liquidate the national question and to see everything only as a class question. The right

tendency was a restoration of bourgeois ideology as "socialism" manifested in seeing socialism as a kind of bohemianism or as "a loose assemblage of squabbling petty bourgeois intellectuals" who no longer had a need for discipline. Within the mass organization being worked with at that time, struggle against opportunism and bourgeois nationalism raged on. The leadership of the ALSC began to "abandon ship" and very little was being done by ALSC. As it later turns out, much of the ALSC leadership (RWL) had begun to put together a new Marxist-Leninist organization which was good, but did not see a need for continuing to build ALSC which was not good.

In the National Black Assembly, petty bourgeois forces coalesce in an attempt to force the Secretary General of the NBA, Amiri Baraka out of the leadership on a charge that he was an "avowed communist". The attempt failed but did not fail to expose the gross opportunism and reactionary character of the right wing of the petty bourgeois sector of the NBA. Internally, the struggle of the Houston cadre began to emerge more clearly as time went on though various positions put forth from Houston and responses from the Chairman and members of the Political Council. Houston leadership even went as far as to support the petty bourgeois compradors in the NBA.

In November, CAP put forward a call for Workers Solidarity Day which represented an attempt to translate working class theory into practice and respond to the general economic crisis which, at that time, was at an all time high. By the end of the year, we had seen a split between Revolutionary Union (RU) and CAP around the question of busing and as polemics among other Marxist-Leninist and anti-imperialist forces began to build.

The beginning of 1975 marked the beginning of more formalized study of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought. During this period, we studied the Four Essays in Philosophy, Mao Tse Tung; Foundations of Leninism; and the History of the CPSU(B). Workers Solidarity Day was held in various cities where CAP was located and with varying degrees of success but was generally very positive as a beginning Marxist-Leninist practical work oriented specifically around the industrial proletariat and at the point of production especially given the petty bourgeois character of much of our earlier work around electoral politics stemming out of cultural nationalism. However, the new period had yet to see a complete break with the electoral politics influence as evidenced by the character of Strategy '76 campaign.

Although strategy '76 was a genuine attempt to unite Marxist-Leninist forces, and an attempt to bring the NBA into a progressive multi-nationalist movement, it saw electoral politics as the best method to accomplish this task which later proved incorrect and was further bogged down by the vacillation of petty bourgeois social-democrat types. A national electoral campaign could only be waged by Marxist-Leninists from a position of strength, i.e., by means of a party, if they saw the need for it. The Stop Killer Cops campaign was also mounted at this time and proved to be a progressive and effective forum for organizing anti-repression consciousness in many parts of the country.

The Congress of Afrikan People Central Committee in April of 1975 sited the main danger in the Congress of Afrikan People as right opportunism. The organizational slogans were changed to: "Defeat Opportunism and Bourgeois Ideology; Support Democratic Centralism, Build the Congress of Afrikan People; Firmly Grasp Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought; Our Cry: Liberation of the Black Nation; Socialist Revolution; Victory to all Oppressed Peoples."

Further struggle with Houston around basically the same questions raised by Houston earlier. It was the struggle against the generally right op-

portunism (with an occasional "left" error of ultra-democracy) trends of the Houston cadre through which forces within CAP grew ideologically stronger. But right opportunism as a main danger kept may on the side lines as observers. It was at this time also that five major questions were identified and assigned to have papers written on them: The National Question, Woman Question, Trade Union, Party Building, International.

By mid-year, struggle with the Houston cadre had crystallized around four major points: Opportunism and revisionism; Who are our friends and who are our enemies; Style of language and style of work; The mass line. Drafts of the papers which were to be the basis of lines on the five major questions assigned in April were presented and discussed. Most of them needed much more work in terms of research and analysis. But the paper on party building was least developed. August saw the attempt of RWL to liquidate the ALSC based on their incorrect position on the national question and black peoples relationship to Africa. However, they were unable to dismantle the ALSC due to resistance put forward by CAP and other Marxist-Leninists in unity with other patriotic forces. A Continuations Committee was formed to consider the future of ALSC until the next general meeting in December, when ALSC was voted to be continued.

The National Black Assembly sponsored an economic conference August 1-3 in Atlanta, Georgia which proved to be successful in that it brought together representatives of various schools of thought relative to the economic crisis and its effect upon black people. The most concrete differences among them, however, were manifested most clearly in the two line struggle that went on within the economic conference between CAP forces and members of the Nation of Islam. Basically it was a struggle between Marxist-Leninist analysis and metaphysical and Bourgeois Nationalist declamation. Immediately following the economic conference was a meeting of the National Black Assembly which didn't move far because of the refusal of the Assembly President, Ron Daniels, to adhere to the policy of the Assembly relative to the status of women in the meetings. Daniels refused to hear from the Womens Caucus, a legal and legitimate arm of the Assembly. And the Women's Caucus, in turn, refused to allow Daniels to proceed with his unjust agenda. Subsequently, Daniels adjourned the meeting and walked out.

At the October General Assembly meeting, it was voted to sponsor a major national conference on the five questions. The five questions, however, were later cut back to two; the National Question and the Woman Question as far as the national conference was concerned. Black Womens' United Front, met in Atlanta late in October also, and it is chiefly through the Black Womens' United Front that the growing relationship between CAP and OL develops later in 1975, the activity priorities of the organization are put forward as follows: 1) Increased study of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought; 2) Production and distribution of Unity & Struggle as our major propaganda and organizing tool; 3) Fund raising through forums and other kinds of fund raising activities; 4) Work place organizing. It was also in October, that the struggle with Houston culminated and Houston departed.

By the end of 1975, CAP summed up Strategy '76 and our work in the National Black Assembly as being related to the electoral politics tendency growing out of the cultural nationalist period of days gone by. Electoral politics is not incorrect in principle but as a tactic used by Marxist-Leninists it requires a strong party and as a tactic used to build the party by uniting Marxist-Leninists, it is incorrect. The split within the NBA reached the point where it became necessary to resign from the NBA making a final break with the petty

(Continued on page 11)

CAP HISTORY

(Continued from page 10)

bourgeois compradors who we left behind. The end of 1975 also saw the re-organization of ALSC along lines developed by the Continuations Committee. Further ALSC was to find that the originators of the proposal to abandon ALSC had returned to stay.

At the February Central Committee meeting, it was voted to restructure the Central Council and the Political Council resulting in the present Central Committee and the Political Bureau respectively. There were further recommendations made in cut backs of mass work and further changes in social practices that appeared to continue to lead us back from a firm grasp of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought. There were also decisions stabilizing the study and reduction of expenses. Further Kawaida like practices were phased out. It was at this February, 1976 Central Committee meeting also that a new name was adopted to replace the Congress of Afrikan People, Revolutionary Communist League (M-L-M); at this time we also voted to withdraw from the Puerto Rican Solidarity Day Committee, Strategy '76 and Kawaida Towers. Open struggle with the OL line emerged initiated by our criticisms of their handling of the Fight Back Conference in Chicago, December 1975.

March was the month in which a Multi National Womens Conference was called by Black Women's United Front for the purpose of discussing the formation of a multi-national womens organization. The conference was to be followed the next day by a demonstration celebrating International Working Womens Day. The International Working Womens Day demonstration was called by a joint effort of CAP and OL. Both these events laid bare our lack of solid positions on major questions e.g., ERA and super seniority. The basis for which we see as liberalism and right opportunist errors. (Also see May issue of Unity & Struggle "CAP summation of International Womens Day.") The need for the inner-directed development deepening our understanding of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought, especially clarity on party building and major questions becomes more and more clear.

Internationally, this stage witnessed a heightening of both of the sharpest contradictions of Imperialism i.e., Imperialism vs. the 3rd World and Imperialism vs. Imperialism, US-USSR Superpower Contention, and especially the contradiction between imperialism and the Third World. As a direct consequence of this sharpening of all contradictions, world imperialism is in the midst of the most serious economic crisis since the great depression.

As the peoples of the world celebrated the heroic victory of the people of Vietnam over U.S. imperialism, Cambodia further beat back U.S. imperialism and later stayed the hand of imperialism's conspiratorial attempts to reinvade Cambodian waters with the spy ship Mayaguez.

Resulting from steady military defeats in Afrika, internal contradictions inside Portugal reached a breaking point with a series of coups d'etat in 1974. Portugal's 500 year bloody history in Afrika is brought to an end with the liberation of Mozambique, and setting the date of November 11, 1975 as independence day for Angola. However, Soviet Social Imperialism, posing as the "natural ally" of the Afrikan Liberation Movement diverted Angolans' independence onto the path of a civil war that took more Afrikan lives than the whole 13 year struggle against Portuguese colonialism. With Soviet Social Imperialism lined up on one side contending for world hegemony against U.S. imperialism, the threat of a third world war looms closer. Soviet Social Imperialism's contention against U.S. imperialism also showed its ugly face in the Middle East, neutraliz-

ing the struggle of the Palestinian people and rendering them still in a state of no war, no peace limbo.

Inside the People's Republic of China, the world leader of socialist development, class struggle intensified. During this stage the world situation is reflected in continuing struggle against Soviet Social Imperialism and utilization of contradictions between it and U.S. imperialism.

Internally, the workers and peasants throughout the country meet success after success in socialist economic production, spurred on by the struggle against and criticism of Lin Piao and Confucius, peddlers of bourgeois ideology. Class struggle in the Party and among the people proved the correctness of the basic Marxist-Leninist theory of the Dictatorship of the Proletariat.

And as the world mourns the passing of one of the greatest revolutionary statesmen of all time, Comrade Chou En Lai, the two line struggle heated up White-hot, against the "right deviationist wind" until its source and chief proponent, the unrepentant capitalist roader was located, isolated and fully exposed. The attack was led, of course, by the greatest Marxist of our time, Comrade Chairman Mao Tse-tung, who asked the Chinese millions "You are making the Socialist Revolution and yet you don't know where the bourgeoisie is, it is right in the Communist Party, those in power, taking the capitalist road." And later it was added that his name is Teng Hsiao Peng, who by two great decisions of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China was expelled from all Party and government office, marking a new victory for the Chinese people and the Dictatorship of the Proletariat, and naming his successor, Comrade Hua Kuo Feng "there is a great disorder under the heavens," as Chairman Mao states, but it is not a bad thing, it is a good thing for the people.



Countries want independence, nations want liberation, people want revolution!

GAIN A CLEAR KNOWLEDGE OF SOCIALIST THEORY

UNITY & SOCIALISM

3269 3RD AVE.

NEAR 146th ST & BOSTON PARK

BRONX N.Y.

BOOKS: SOCIALISM, PAN AFRIKANISM, THIRD WORLD STRUGGLES, HISTORY

JEWELRY: HAND MADE BRACELETS, EARRINGS

WORKCLOTHES: JEANS, PANTS, SHIRTS

PLUS: DASHIKIS, MATERIALS, INCENSE, LEATHER GOODS

SUMMING UP AND BEGINNING

CAP's development, like all development, has been a confrontation and struggle between opposing tendencies. From the earliest eclecticism and fire for "national liberation" and revolution, through cultural nationalism and its pitiful reaction, to the final awareness and grasping of Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought, affirming it as the science of revolution, CAP has been in ultimately positive motion. No matter the twists and turns, like the struggle of the people in general, "the future is bright".

What is clear from this brief summation of CAP's history is the dialectical nature of not only the Congress of Afrikan People, but of the Black Liberation Movement in general, and of course all phenomena and ideas. This is affirmed because of the undialectical, subjective and mechanical misanalysis of the Black Liberation Movement (and of the Communist movement) that have been put forward by certain elements claiming to be the most correct, the most revolutionary, &c., forces in the anti-revisionist communist movement today. It seems that in this period we are drawing "most corrects" by the astonishing carloads. Some come right out front and call themselves "the party", and their subjectivism and idealism is immediately obvious. Others reluctant to have their idealism and subjectivism exposed from the giddy cloak their superrightness in terms like "The Revolutionary Wing" or pat themselves on the head as having "the overall most correct line" &c., but as they spout their line, sure enough, though in many areas they are putting out some correct lines, because of their beginning subjectivism and idealism instead of serving to draw out the correct line in the struggle to unite Marxist-Leninists, and win the advanced to communism, they quickly degenerate into infantile sectarians and splitters.

We hold that at this time there is no overall most correct line, no "Revolutionary Wing", though there are organizations with lines that seem more developed, clearer in their ultimately revolutionary intent, if that is their direction, than others. But for many of these people who claim at the tops of their voices many times theatrically, that they are the overall most correct, just a couple years ago they were opposing Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought for various reasons, or simply unaware of it. Many times they manufacture histories of themselves in the center of the various movements they come out of, the Black Liberation Movement, or Puerto Rican Liberation Movement, or Asian or Chicano Liberation Movements, student movement, and find themselves to have been always dead right, but any objective study of the histories of these organizations will find the same twists and turns, the same almost dead ends, that most of us have had to go through. To hold, as RWL does, for instance, that CAP and the US organization and all cultural nationalist organizations were simply the reactionary nationalists, and the Panthers, YOBUSOB, MXLU were the revolutionary nationalists, is subjective and idealist, undialectical and mechanical and plays the same hegemonist game, RWL accuses themselves of in their recent Bolshevik. At a recent forum, May first, of the so called Revolutionary Wing, some comrades from the "Wing" called the Chairman of CAP "A cultural nationalist" when ironically enough, the very person they had chairing the forum was an ex-member of CAP who, along with her husband, left CAP as the result of being struggled with for extreme forms of cultural nationalism they practiced and advocated when CAP was resisting the "US" influence.

Recently, CAP has been accused of "History of ALSC," RWL.

being opportunist, its Chairman of being (by Palante) "a staunch opportunist" and of needing to be "purged" (at the forum), the former for not having a position on party building, the latter in fits of sectarianism because obviously they know nothing about the inner workings of the CAP (unless they're the FBI) and know nothing about the inner struggles for instance to come up with a consolidated position on party building. CAP must criticize itself, for its many "opinions" relating to party building, though in the main these have been confined to general statements, e.g., "Unite Marxist-Leninists", in the absence of a consolidated CAP Central Committee sanctioned line on the question. But over a year ago Comrades in CAP were charged with presenting a paper which would be the basis for a CAP line on Party Building, but their efforts were weak and shoddy because of the liberalism and right errors that were the main danger inside this organization. Certainly we must also criticize ourselves for the weakness of programs like the Strategy '76, which put forward that it was supposed to unite Marxist-Leninists over a long period in coalition and thereby aid party building efforts. The reasons we thought such a plan could work obviously comes from the pragmatic approach to our work inherited from the earlier stages of our development. But having no fully constructed party building line we made errors that must be ascribed to that, but we do not feel that this in itself can damn us forever as opportunists because of the admitted errors, liberalism, pragmatism, empiricism, because we fully intend to put forward a position on party building in July.

Our struggle to grasp Marxism-Leninism-Mao Tse Tung Thought is ongoing, and errors in political line stem from incorrect grasp of the ideology, which we will struggle to correct. We have been trying to develop positions on the major questions, Party Building, AfroAmerican National Question, Woman Question, Trade Unions and International. All these projects were in motion over a year ago, and we must criticize ourselves and need to be criticized for not having been able to put them all out in comprehensive clear fashion... but we will. The positions on AfroAmerican National Question, Woman Question, were brought to stages of completion earlier, the International Questions as well, we have developed a basic line, and the Trade Union Question we have had to rewrite, after rethinking our positions. But at the same time we will not bow to idiotic self aggrandizing sectarian positions based on subjectivism and idealism and hegemonism that say that we must be opportunist because we have not yet come out with certain lines on certain questions—who holds the official timetable on when lines are due? Otherwise we would certainly call RWL opportunist, which we do not, for not coming out with a line on the AfroAmerican National Question. But we do not hold (as their cadre in D.C. do, in a recent criticism of CAP), that the AfroAmerican National Question is "The Black Nationalist Question", (p. 12 ALSC paper, D.C.) an interesting slip(?) which shows a crude continuing relationship to CPUSA lines and Great Nation Chauvinism, on the surface of it, which we must suppose is the basis for the line struggle going on now in RWL about whether the Black Nation exists or not (according to an asterisk in their May Day Forum propaganda which RWL used to disclaim upholding the Leninist line on the Black Nation because they are still struggling to see whether it's correct or not). Yes, we did feel that the AfroAmerican National Question was important because as Communists who were AfroAmericans

(Continued on page 12)

Summing Up & Beginning

(Continued from page 11)

and as part of the Black Liberation Movement we felt an urgency on this question, but all the major questions were taken up at the same time by different committees and the results were as we stated. But overemphasis on this question can be ascribed to the fact of our having moved from nationalism to communism perhaps incompletely. Even though ATM, a member of the "Revolutionary Wing", at least at last reading, says, "Comrades, the main contradiction in the world today is the National Question. It is the oppressed nations and peoples of the world in struggle against U.S. imperialism and Soviet Social Imperialism which is moving forward the entire struggle against world wide reaction. Whether it be in South Afrika, Southeast Asia, the Middle East, or the UN - the Third World is every single day weakening the strength of the two superpowers. In our own country the struggle of the Afro-American people (who constitute an oppressed nation in the Black Belt South) has been in the forefront of all the struggles against the U.S. ruling class. As comrade Mao has said, the struggle of Afro-Americans is 'a storm such as has never taken place before

on Mao Tse Tung, and their elderly "Negro Nation" mindlessness, and weird "leftism" we have put down from the start. Now, we have had a relationship with OL, we are opportunist, but all the rest of the self-acclaimed "corrects" suffer no damage from their being hooked up with folks as odious as RU and CL! We have always had problems with aspects of WVO's line, from their weird line on the Boston Busing, though we have not completely summed up our views on WVO, but last month they were among the supercorrects, now they have passed amidst profanity and cursing (in the May Day Forum that reminded one of unskilled petty bourgeois actors trying out for the Negro Ensemble Company's rendition of "Ghetto Life As the Petty Bourgeois Sees It") on out of the corrects and can even be punched and kicked as "garbage". Even the **Resistencia**, who we feel has been among the most principled and correct as far as overall line, self-criticism and criticism, when they put forth that they did not hold that there was a Revolutionary Wing, only some Revolutionary Organizations, was attacked as "menshevik", and "holding opportunist lines" and not allowed to speak at the May Day Forum, but sup-



As the people's struggle intensifies against monopoly capitalism, the need for a bolshevik party, what Lenin called a party of the new type, it becomes clearer and clearer to Marxist-Leninists and advanced workers this is our central task in this period. The struggle to build a revolutionary Marxist-Leninist Communist Party in this country is part of the irresistible trend of revolution in the world.

of the subjectivist coin, Dogmatism. And it is easy to see how they have flip flopped from one side of it to the other.

You cannot, for instance, jump into working peoples faces and curse them, that is a petty bourgeois illusion. We do not play that. We are for open and above board struggle, serious vigorous struggle, but the "demolishing people with one blow" by trying to intimidate them with all of things profanity, is stupid, and if ever, in their travels, they run into people who are not also petty bourgeois types, dangerous.

The struggle to build a Revolutionary Marxist-Leninist Communist Party in this country, a "U.S. Bolshevik Party", is part of the irresistible trend of revolution in the world. Though it is not

a straight line, "there are twists and turns, but the future is bright". CAP has become the Revolutionary Communist League (M-L-M), and we intend to become that not only in name, but in essence. And as such, we intend to be an even more active and dynamic part of the historic motion to build the party that will make Socialist Revolution in the U.S.A.! This is our commitment, for many of us, already in the struggle at least a decade, reflecting only a small part of the centuries old struggle of the working people and oppressed nationalities in the U.S.A., and the age old struggle of the Working Class and oppressed people all over the world. We say, "Cast Away Illusions, Prepare For Struggle". That is exactly what we are trying to do.



Afrikan Liberation Support Committee brought together 50,000 people all over the U.S. to march against colonialism and imperialism in Afrika. But by 1975 much of the leadership of ALSC (RWL) began to "abandon ship" and very little was being done by ALSC. However, they were unable to dismantle the ALSC due to resistance put forward by CAP and other Marxist-Leninists in unity with other patriotic forces.

(our emphasis) in the history of that country." (Revolutionary Cause, p. 3, Vol. 1 No. 2) But still we believe that party building is the central task, and must address itself to the four international contradictions, including the two sharpest trends, revolution and war, though revolution, which is principal, is "an irresistible trend" in the world today.

Our relationship with OL we have criticized ourselves for, and will again. But there are certain people, PRRWO, RWL, for instance, who have had cut-buddy relationships with RU and CL, dubious distinctions we cannot claim. We have always seen RU, except in the 1st instances when hearing them described as positive by people in RWL, as chauvinists and subjectivists. CL's line

posed to get five minutes from the floor, as if the "RW" 's were holding court. And now there are wires that some others might leave the "Wing", amidst their furious purging of themselves, and their cadres and whole sections in a frenzied attempt to be **righter than reality**.

The sectarianism will lead them nowhere but sectsville. There may even be some totally incorrect types inside these groups stirring this infantile sectarianism up, in the same of Bolshevism. The cursing, fist fights, furious condemnations of everybody is the results of idealism, subjectivism, and the reverse side of the empiricism (which they are still guilty of), dogmatism. Empiricism, (Bolshevik Vol. 1) which they criticized themselves for, is merely the reverse side



SUPPORT UNITY & STRUGGLE

Make whatever donations you can to support **Unity & Struggle**. Due to the crisis of capitalism on its deathbed, the prices for everything are rising fast! We do not want to raise the price of **Unity & Struggle** again due to the rising cost of production and distribution. So, please contribute whatever you can to sustain **Unity & Struggle**.

Thank you for whatever way you can to help **Unity & Struggle** to reach the masses of people to unite our struggles to smash imperialism!!!

Send all responses to: **Unity & Struggle**, P.O. Box 1181, Newark, N.J. 07101.

We are looking for ways of increasing the distribution of **Unity & Struggle**.

CAN YOU DISTRIBUTE UNITY & STRUGGLE IN YOUR AREA??

We are looking for Political Activists, Organizations, Bookstores, Stores, Newsstands, etc. to distribute **Unity & Struggle**. Can you take 10-25 or more papers and see that your friends or people you encounter where ever you go, get **Unity & Struggle** regularly?? If you are not able to do this, please send us some suggestions to increase distribution in your area.

Also, we have been harassed by the U.S. Post Office - not receiving mail, delays, mail never received from us to other people, etc. Please let us know when this happens to you. If you are a subscriber or distributor, please notify us immediately if your address changes because this will cause a delay in your receiving **Unity & Struggle** or you not receiving it at all. We do not discontinue sending papers without notification - so beware if you don't receive your paper.

Next edition (July) RCL's position on Party Building—RCL's position on Afro-American National Question (Part I).

Subscribe Now!

UNITY STRUGGLE

Political Organ of the Revolutionary Communist League (M-L-M) with vital information and analyses of the struggles of the working class and oppressed nationalities against imperialism & monopoly capitalism & national oppression.

Box 1181

12 issues \$2.40 Newark, N.J. 07102 Published Once A Month

Name _____
 Address _____
 City, State _____ Zip _____